1 3 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 JETSON R. CHESHIER, and 8 BOBBIE SHIREMAN, and JEFFRERY R. CHESHIER, 9 Plaintiffs, NO. CV-07-3072-RHW 10 v. 11 CHARLES A GIBSON and KITTIE ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO 12 H. GIBSON (Husband and Wife), ALTER AND AMEND JUDGMENT UNDER FRCP 59(E) AND DISMISSING CASE WITHOUT and ROBERT W. GARRETSON and 13 MARY H. GARRETSON (Husband and Wife), and ROBERT A. **PREJUDICE** 14 GARRETTSON, and THEADORE MELLOTTE, AND WANDA and/or 15 ELOISE MELLOTT (Husband and Wife), and LEON BRUMAN and 16 MARILYN BRUNAM (Husband and wife), and DAVID MARHER Jr., and 17 WILLIAM H. GREGORY, and (All Section 25 historical-defendants) and 18 HALVERSON, APPLEGATE, ÁND MCDONALD [Law Firm], and 19 FLYING Y HOMES OWNERSHIP ASSOCIATION, and STATE OF 20 WASHINGTON, et al., and DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE, and 21 YAKIMA COUNTY, et al., 22 Defendants. 23 Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion to Alter and Amend Judgment Under 24 FRCP 59(e) (Ct. Rec. 60). The motion was heard without oral argument. 25 On November 30, 2007, the Court entered an Order dismissing the case with 26 prejudice. The Court found that it did not have subject matter jurisdiction over the 27 claims because Plaintiff did not allege any federal claims and did not allege 28 ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO ALTER AND AMEND JUDGMENT UNDER FRCP 59(E) AND DISMISSING CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE ~ 1

diversity jurisdiction. 1 2 Plaintiffs argue that the Court should have dismissed the case without prejudice, relying on North Dakota law for support of their position. While North 3 Dakota law is not binding on this Court, under Ninth Circuit precedent, because the 4 5 Court did not reach the merits of Plaintiffs' claims, the Court should have dismissed the action without prejudice. See Herman Family Revocable Trust v. 6 Teddy Bear, 254 F.3d 802 (9th Cir. 2001); In Re Hunter, 66 F.3d 1002 (9th Cir. 7 1995). 8 9 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**: Plaintiffs' Motion to Alter and Amend Judgment Under FRCP 59(e) 10 (Ct. Rec. 60) is **GRANTED**. 11 The above-captioned case is dismissed without prejudice. 12 IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Executive is directed to enter this 13 Order and forward copies to counsel. 14 **DATED** this 11th day of January, 2008. 15 s/Robert H. Whaley 16 17 ROBERT H. WHALEY Chief United States District Judge 18 19 Q:\CIVIL\2007\Cheshier\strike.wpd 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO ALTER AND AMEND JUDGMENT UNDER FRCP 59(E) AND DISMISSING CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE ~ 2